NOTICE PUBLICATION/REGULATIONS SUBMISSION  (See Instructions on reverse)					For use by Secretary of State only		
OAL FILE NUMBER NUMBERS Z-	REGULATORY ACT	ION NUMBER	1_	ERGENCY NUMBER	-03FR		
	For use by Office of Admir	nistrative Law (OAL)		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- 72/		
		2013	MAR 22	PM 3:35			
*		\N]P+	OFFI UNISTR	OE OF ATIVE LAW			
		8					
NOTICE			REGULA	TIONS	. E		
GENCY WITH RULEMAKING AUTHORITY California Health Benefit Excl	nange					AGENCY FILE NUMBER (If any)	
	90						
. PUBLICATION OF NOTICE	E (Complete for pub	lication in Notic	e Regist	er)			
SUBJECT OF NOTICE		TITLE(S)		ST SECTION AFFECT	ED	2. REQUESTED PUBLICATION DATE	
NOTICE TYPE Notice re Proposed Regulatory Action Other	•	NTACT PERSON	TEL	EPHONE NUMBER		FAX NUMBER (Optional)	
ONLY  ACTION ON PROPOSED Approved as Submitted	NOTICE Approved as Modified	Disapproved Withdrawn		FICE REGISTER NUM	BER	PUBLICATION DATE	
SUBMISSION OF REGULA	ATIONS (Complete w	hen submitting ı	egulatio	ons)			
SUBJECT OF REGULATION(S)	15 g			1b. ALL PREVIOU	S RELATED C	AL REGULATORY ACTION NUMBER(S)	
ocess for selecting Pediatric	: Dental Health Plans fo	or the Exchange		2013-0215-02	2 E		
SPECIFY CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS		title 26, if toxics related)					
SECTION(S) AFFECTED	6446			Walter Company of the			
(List all section number(s)	AMEND						
individually. Attach dditional sheet if needed.)	,						
TLE(S)	REPEAL					-	
TYPE OF FILING							
Regular Rulemaking (Gov. Code §11346)  Resubmittal of disapproved or withdrawn nonemergency filing (Gov. Code §§11349.3,	Certificate of Compliance: below certifies that this ag provisions of Gov. Code §§ before-the emergency reg within the time period req	ency complied with the 11346.2-11347.3 either ulation was adopted or	□ <del>-</del>	mergency Readopt ( Code, §11346.1(h)) File & Print	Gov.	Changes Without Regulatory Effect (Cal. Code Regs., title 1, §100) Print Only	
11349.4) Emergency (Gov. Code, §11346.1(b))	Resubmittal of disapprove emergency filing (Gov. Coo	d or withdrawn		Other (Specify)			
ALL BEGINNING AND ENDING DATES OF AVAIL	LABILITY OF MODIFIED REGULATIONS	AND/OR MATERIAL ADDED T	O THE RULEMA	AKING FILE (Cal. Code Re	egs. title 1, §44	and Gov. Code §11347.1)	
EFFECTIVE DATE OF CHANGES (Gov. Code, §§ 1		itle 1, §100 )		Effective		and the second second	
October 1 (Gov. Code §11343.4(a))	Secretary of State	Regulatory E	Effect	other (Specify)			
CHECK IF THESE REGULATIONS REQUIDED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO		The same of the sa	OR CONCU al Practices C		ER AGENCY (	R ENTITY State Fire Marshal	
Other (Specify)							
contact person randon Ross		(916) 323-347	1	FAX NUMBER (Op	tional)	E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional) brandon.ross@hbex.ca.gov	
I certify that the attache of the regulation(s) iden is true and correct, and to or a designee of the head	tified on this form, tha that I am the head of th d of the agency, and an	t the information : e agency taking tl n authorized to ma	specified nis action	on this form	For use by	Office of Administrative Law (OAL) onl	
GIGNATURE OF AGENCY HEAD OR DESIGNATURE	BNEE	DATE	22/1.	3			
TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNATORY Peter V. Lee, Executive Directo							

#### Adopt Section 6446 to read:

## SECTION 6446: PEDIATRIC DENTAL HEALTH PLAN SOLICITATION

- (a) The Exchange will solicit bids from Dental Plan Issuers to offer market and sell Pediatric Essential Health Benefits Dental Plans. Bids are sought for statewide and regional dental plans.
- (b) Definitions: For purposes of this section, the following terms mean:
  - (1) Bidder: A Dental Plan Issuer seeking to enter into a contract for the sale of Pediatric EHB Dental Plans through the Exchange.
  - (2) Coalition: A group of individual Dental Plan Issuers who together submit a bid to provide statewide dental coverage through the Exchange.
  - (3) Dental Plan Issuer: A carrier licensed to provide dental coverage in the state of California.
  - (4) Member or Enrollee: an individual who is enrolled in a Pediatric EHB Dental Plan.
  - (5) Pediatric Essential Health Benefits Dental Plan or Pediatric EHB Dental Plan: A dental benefit plan for children up to age 19, providing dental services coverage and which must meet all applicable requirements of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-148), as amended by the federal Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-152), including actuarial value requirements and prohibiting the imposition of frequency limitations on covered dental care.
  - (6) Pediatric EHB Dental Standard Benefit Plan Design: Benefit plan design adopted by the Exchange which stipulates required coverage levels, cost-sharing amounts, covered services and deductible levels in accordance with required actuarial values.
  - (7) Primary Issuer: A Dental Plan Issuer responsible for aggregating and managing members of a Coalition.
  - (8) Solicitation: The California Health Benefit Exchange 2012 2013 Solicitation to Dental Issuers And Invitation to Respond v2, dated February 1, 2013.
- (c) To be considered for participation as a Pediatric EHB Dental Plan, Bidder must meet the following requirements when submitting responses to the Solicitation:
  - (1) Bidder must hold the required licenses to operate as a Dental Plan Issuer in the State of California. Bidder must verify whether it is in good standing with all appropriate local, state and federal licensing authorities. Good standing means that the Bidder has had no fines, penalties levied, citations, or ongoing disputes, which are of a material

nature, with either the California Department of Insurance or the Department of Managed Health Care in the last two years.

(2) Bidder must verify whether it is seeking a certificate of authority or an amendment to an existing certificate of authority from the appropriate regulatory agency, which is either the California Department of Insurance or the Department of Managed Health Care, in order to meet the requirements of individual and small group products to be offered in the California Health Benefit Exchange.

(3) In response to this Solicitation, Bidder must submit all material necessary to obtain approval of Pediatric EHB Dental Plans to the

appropriate California regulatory agency.

(4) Bidder must bid to cover its entire licensed service area, and must verify that it has done so.

- (5) Bidder must use low-income population data provided by the Exchange in the Bidder's Library on the HBEX 15 Solicitation at <a href="http://www.healthexchange.ca.gov/Solicitations/Documents/Dental%20">http://www.healthexchange.ca.gov/Solicitations/Documents/Dental%20</a> <a href="mailto:Providers.pdf">Providers.pdf</a> to create and submit maps showing contracted Federally-Qualified Health Centers and other dental providers serving low-income populations plotted by county.
- (6) Bidder must have the ability to show the Exchange an example of a member website.
- (7) Bidder may only vary the premium for the Pediatric EHB Dental Plan by geography (rating region), by coverage tier, and by actuarial value level.
- (8) If a Bidder chooses to submit a bid for statewide coverage as a Coalition of multiple Dental Plan Issuers, a Primary Issuer must take responsibility for aggregating and managing Coalition members. All Dental Plan Issuers who are members of the Coalition must be a party to the Coalition contract with the Exchange and must individually meet the Exchange's requirements in this section.
- (9) A successful Bidder must attest that it will use a health assessment tool to identify enrollees who are in need of covered restorative treatment services at the time of enrollment.
- (10) A successful Bidder must attest that it will provide reports to the Exchange related to utilization, costs, quality, operations and performance guarantees.
- (11) A successful Bidder must attest that it will build data interfaces with the Exchange's eligibility and enrollment systems and report transactions to the Exchange.
- (12) A successful Bidder must attest that it will be ready to accept enrollment as of October 1, 2013.
- (13) Bidder must maintain a system of accountability for quality improvement in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations.

- (14) Bidder must provide its active dental membership, as of July 1, 2012, in the state of California, defined by market segment (individual, employer-sponsored vs. voluntary).
- (15) Bidder must describe three attributes of its organization Bidder believes distinguishes itself from its competitors.
- (16) Describe up to three examples of Bidder's successful innovations to improve service quality and reduce costs.
- (17) Verify whether Bidder offers discount programs related to non-covered services.
- (18) Provide a brief description of any outside vendors that Bidder will utilize to serve the Exchange.
- (19) Provide the physical location of all administrative teams that Bidder proposes to serve The Exchange.
- (20) Describe whether the account team members (e.g. implementation manager, claims specialist, member services manager, etc.) will be dedicated to the Exchange.
- (21) Describe whether a dedicated implementation manager will be assigned to lead and coordinate the implementation activities with the Exchange.
- (22) Describe the services and support Bidder will provide during the implementation process and what information and resources will be required of the Exchange.
- (23) Should Bidder's organization be selected, explain how Bidder plans to accommodate the additional enrollees.
- (24) Describe whether Bidder will provide the Exchange with a dedicated claims processing unit.
- (25) Verify whether the Exchange will retain the right to annually audit/assess the plan administrator's compliance with the terms of the contract, including but not limited to a claims audit or audit for cause of irregular activity, either directly or through its authorized agents. Verify whether you will provide 2 years' worth of claims experience with no limit on the number of claims that may be reviewed and that any audits will be completed with no additional cost to the Exchange.
- (26) Describe Bidder's claims administration procedures
- (27) What guarantees does Bidder provide to ensure members will not be balance billed for in-network services?
- (28) Describe how Bidder identifies and addresses inappropriate patterns of treatment.
- (29) Describe Bidder's fraud and abuse program.
- (30) Describe what steps Bidder takes to protect patient privacy and how Protected Health Information (PHI) is handled?
- (31) Verify whether the Exchange will be provided a dedicated member services unit.

(32) Verify whether Bidder will provide a dedicated interactive voice response member services number and indicate which member services options are available via interactive voice response.

(33) Bidder must indicate the ways in which Bidder's member services organization is able to accommodate the special language needs of

enrollees.

(34) Confirm that the following programs or services will be made available to enrollees in 2014: risk assessments, disease management programs, and care reminders.

(35) Provide a description of Bidder's standard communications

materials.

- (36) Bidder must indicate any plan sponsor tools and information Bidder offers, how it may be accessed, the training on those tools and information, and the level of guaranteed availability for the tools and information.
- (37) Describe whether Bidder owns its provider networks or contracts with other organizations and if Bidder contracts with other organizations, please provide those organizations' names.

(38) Verify whether Bidder's provider network directory is available

online.

(39) Describe how often Bidder's online and printed directory is updated.

- (40) Bidder must specify the number of contracted providers for each provider type, and the number of open practices for each provider type for each rating region.
- (41) Bidder must describe its network growth plan for the California network in 2014.
- (42) Bidder must describe its process for recruiting new dentists.
- (43) Bidder must provide the percentage of Bidder's network providers that are not accepting new patients.
- (44) Bidder must provide the percentage of Bidder's network providers that have office visit waiting times in excess of 30 days.
- (45) Bidder must provide its network turnover rate or rate of termination?
- (46) Bidder must describe in detail its credentialing and re-credentialing processes for providers.
- (47) Provide a description of the quality indicators used to evaluate Bidder's provider network and whether Bidder has an incentive program for network providers.
- (48) Describe the steps Bidder takes to investigate member-reported quality of care issues regarding a provider and whether it has terminated network dentists based on its investigation of a member-reported quality complaint.
- (49) Bidder must provide its target and actual performance on its customer service performance measures, utilization performance measures, rating performance measures, and any other metrics that are in place to monitor the performance of member services.

- (50) Describe any new positions proposed for Bidder's Exchangerelated sales and marketing activities.
- (51) Describe Bidder's plan to cooperate with Exchange marketing and outreach efforts, including internal and external training, collateral materials, and other efforts.
- (53) Bidder must implement a quality assurance program in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title, 2 § 1300.70, for evaluating the appropriateness and quality of the covered services provide to members.
- (d) Bidder must submit a bid for at least one of the following standard plan designs (PPO and/or DMHO):

PPO:

DHMO:

	PPO:		DHMO:		
Procedure Categories	PPO High	PPO Low	DHMO High	DHMO Low	
	Plan P	ays:	Copays:		
Diagnostic & Preventive (D&P)	100%	100%	\$0	\$0	
X-rays, Exams, Cleanings					
Sealants	#				
Office Visit	n/a	n/a	\$0	\$20	
Basic Services - Basic Restorative	80%	50%	\$40	\$95	
Major Services - Crowns & Casts, Prosthodontics, Endodontics, Periodontics, Oral Surgery	50%	50%	\$365	\$365	
	Enrollee	Pays:			
Orthodontics (Medically Necessary)	50%	50%	\$1,000	\$1,000	
Deductible	\$50 (not applied to D&P)	\$60 (applied to all services)	None	None	
Annual Maximum	None	None	None	None	
OOP Maximum	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	
Waiting Periods (Major & Ortho)	None	None	None	None	
Actuarial Value (AV)	86%	72%	87%	72%	
			DHMO copayments for Basic Services and Major Services vary by procedure within these categories. Using a statistically significant set of claims data, the Bidder's average copay charged for procedures in this category cannot exceed the stated amount.		

- (e) The evaluation of dental plan bids will be guided by the following principles of evaluation:
  - (1) The Exchange will seek to encourage value competition based on quality, service, and price.
  - (2) The Exchange will seek to encourage competition based upon meaningful dental plan choice and product differentiation by requiring Bidders to submit one of the Exchange's adopted standardized benefit plan designs in each region for which they submit a bid.
  - (3) The Exchange will seek to encourage competition throughout the State by requiring that dental plan issuers submit bids in all geographic service areas in which they are licensed.
  - (4) The Exchange will seek to encourage alignment with providers and delivery systems that serve the low-income population through additional consideration for bids that demonstrate an ongoing commitment or capacity to serve the cultural, linguistic, and dental care needs of the low income and uninsured populations, beyond the minimum requirements adopted by the Exchange.
- (f) Bidder must comply with the following response instructions:
  - (1) Responses are due by 5:00 pm Pacific Time on April 2, 2013. Responses received after the response deadline will be rejected.
  - (2) Each firm may submit only one response as a primary vendor, and up to one response as a member of a Coalition. For the purposes of this paragraph, "firm" includes a parent corporation of a firm and any other subsidiary of that parent corporation. If a firm submits more than one response, as either a primary vendor or as a member of a coalition, the Exchange will reject all responses submitted by that firm.
  - (3) Responses must include a cover letter with the following information: Bidder's company name, mailing address and telephone number, contact person's name, title, email address, telephone number and fax number, title of this bid, federal tax identification number, submission date of proposal, original signature of an individual authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of the bidder (provided in blue ink).

Authority: Government Code Section 100504

Reference: Government Code Sections 100502, 100503, 100504, 100505, and 100507



# California Health Benefit Exchange

Board Members
Diana S. Dooley, Chair
Kimberly Belshé Paul Fearer
Susan Kennedy Robert Ross, MD

Executive Director
Peter V. Lee

February 8, 2013

### ADVANCE NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE EMERGENCY REGULATIONS

This notice is sent in accordance with Government Code Section 11346.1(a)(2), which requires that State of California agencies give a five working day advance notice of intent to file emergency regulations with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL). The California Health Benefit Exchange ("Exchange") intends to file an Emergency Rulemaking package with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) that affects the Exchange's contracting process and standards for selecting and contracting with Qualified Health Plans offering the pediatric dental essential health benefit for the sale of those plans through the Health Benefit Exchange starting October 1, 2013. As required by subdivisions (a)(2) and (b)(2) of Government Code Section 11346.1, this notice appends the following: (1) the specific language of the proposed regulation and (2) the Finding of Emergency, including specific facts demonstrating the need for immediate action, the authority and reference citations, the informative digest and policy statement overview, attached reports, and required determinations.

The Exchange plans to file the Emergency Rulemaking package with OAL at least five working days from the date of this notice. If you would like to make comments on the Finding of Emergency or the proposed regulations (also enclosed), they must be received by both the Exchange and the Office of Administrative Law within five calendar days of the Exchange's filing at OAL. Responding to these comments is strictly at the Exchange's discretion.

Comments should be sent simultaneously to:

California Health Benefit Exchange Attn: Brandon Ross 560 J Street, Suite 290 Sacramento, CA 95814

Office of Administrative Law 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1250 Sacramento, CA 95814

sil

Please note that this advance notice and comment period is not intended to replace the public's ability to comment once the emergency regulations are approved. The

Exchange will hold a public hearing and 45-day comment period within the 180 day certification period following the effective date of the emergency regulations.

Please contact Brandon Ross at 916-323-3502 or info@hbex.ca.gov if you have any questions concerning this Advance Notice.

#### FINDING OF EMERGENCY

The Director of the California Health Benefit Exchange finds that an emergency exists and that this proposed emergency regulation is necessary to address a situation that calls for immediate action to avoid serious harm to the public peace, health, safety or general welfare.

### **DEEMED EMERGENCY**

The Exchange may "Adopt rules and regulations, as necessary. Until January 1, 2016, any necessary rules and regulations may be adopted as emergency regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedures Act. The adoption of these regulations shall be deemed to be an emergency and necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, or general welfare." (Gov. Code, § 100504(a)(6)).

#### **AUTHORITY AND REFERENCE**

Authority: Government Code Section 100504.

Reference: Government Code Sections 100502, 100503, 100504, 100505, and 100507.

### INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW

### Documents to be incorporated by reference:

None

### **Summary of Existing Laws**

Existing law, the California Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, established the California Health Benefit Exchange. The Exchange is responsible for arranging and contracting with health insurance issuers to provide affordable, quality health insurance coverage to qualified individuals and qualified employers through the Exchange. (Gov. Code, § 100500 et seq.) In order to provide health care coverage through the Exchange must contract with health insurance issuers through a competitive selection process based on uniform standards and criteria that must be developed by the Exchange. (Gov. Code, §§ 100503, 100504).

The Exchange has previously promulgated regulations on the selection process for qualified health plans to be offered through the Exchange. (California Code of Regualtions, Title 10, § 6410 et seq.). Those regulations identified the selection process for health plans offering health coverage that includes the essential health benefits identified in 42 U.S.C. § 18022, with the exception of the pediatric dental essential health benefit. These proposed regulations establish the selection process for the selection of qualified health plans offering the pediatric dental essential health benefit.

State and Federal law require the Exchange to allow the issuer of a health plan offering the pediatric dental essential health benefit to offer that plan through the Exchange. (42 U.S.C. § 18031(d)(2); (Gov. Code § 100503). The proposed regulations establish the criteria and process for those plans offering the pediatric dental essential health benefit to be selected and certified by the Exchange to offer those plans through the Exchange.

The proposed regulations will also ensure that all health plan issuers offering the pediatric dental essential health benefit are on a level playing field and have an equal opportunity to be selected for participation in the Exchange. Additionally, these regulations will increase transparency in the Exchange's process for selecting qualified health plans offering the pediatric dental essential health benefit, which will result in greater consumer confidence in the Exchange.

The proposed regulations will provide the framework for the Exchange to contract with health insurance issuers offering the pediatric dental essential health benefit and offer that coverage through the Exchange to millions of Californians. The proposed regulations will specifically benefit millions of Californians by providing them with the opportunity to purchase high-quality, affordable health insurance that covers the pediatric dental essential health benefit required pursuant to federal and state law. The Exchange is the sole marketplace where Californians at certain income levels will be able to use federal tax credits to reduce the cost of their health insurance premiums and to purchase coverage that is eligible for federal subsidies that will reduce the cost-sharing requirements in their health plans. Without these proposed regulations, Californians would be unable to use federal tax subsidies for the purchase of health insurance that offers the pediatric dental essential health benefit through the Exchange.

After an evaluation of current regulations, the Exchange has determined that these proposed regulations are not inconsistent or incompatible with any existing regulations. The Exchange is the sole agency authorized to contract for the sale of the qualified health plans through the California Health Benefit Exchange. As such there are no other regulations in existence that are incompatible or inconsistent with the proposed regulations. Further, the proposed regulations are not inconsistent or incompatible with any other regulations that address health plans outside of the Exchange.

MATTERS PRESCRIBED BY STATUTE APPLICABLE TO THE AGENCY OR TO ANY SPECIFIC REGULATION OR CLASS OF REGULATIONS

4. 5

None.

#### LOCAL MANDATE

The Executive Director of the California Health Benefit Exchange has determined that this proposed regulatory action does not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

### FISCAL IMPACT ESTIMATES (Attached Form 399)

This proposal does not impose costs on any local agency or school district for which reimbursement would be required pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of the Government Code. This proposal does not impose other nondiscretionary cost or savings on local agencies.

# COSTS OR SAVINGS TO STATE AGENCIES (Attached Form 399)

The proposal results in additional costs to the California Health Benefit Exchange, which is funded by federal grant money. The proposal does not result in any costs or savings to any other state agency.



# California Health Benefit Exchange

**Board Members** 

Diana S. Dooley, Chair
Kimberly Belshé Paul Fearer
Susan Kennedy Robert Ross, MD

Executive Director
Peter V. Lee

March 22, 2013

# STATEMENT OF CONFIRMATION OF MAILING OF FIVE-DAY EMERGENCY NOTICE

(Title 1, CCR section 50(a)(5)(A))

The California Health Benefit Exchange sent notice of the proposed emergency action to every person who has filed a request for notice of regulatory action at least five working days before submitting the emergency regulation to the Office of Administrative law in accordance with the requirements of Government Code section 11346.1, subdivision (a)(2).

de la

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

### **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

### (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

### See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

California Health Benefit Exchange	CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	David Maxwell-Jolly	916-323-3625 NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Process for selecting Pediatric Dental Hea		Z
	ECONOMIC IMPACT	STATEMENT
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS (Include calculations and assumpt	ions in the rulemaking record.)
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	e whether this regulation:	
a. Impacts businesses and/or emplo	_	7
b. Impacts small businesses	yees	e. Imposes reporting requirements
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	<u></u>	f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance
		g. Impacts individuals
d. Impacts California competitivenes	s L	h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)
h. (cont.)		
	ecked, complete this Economic Impact S	
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted	d: Describe the type	es of businesses (Include nonprofits.):
Enter the number or percentage of total busin	esses impacted that are small business	es:
3. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	eated:	eliminated:
Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or region	nal (List areas.):
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or	eliminated: Describe the typ	es of jobs or occupations impacted:
3		
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of Californ	ia businesses to compete with other sta	ites by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?
Yes No If yes,	explain briefly:	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations an		
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that I	businesses and individuals may incur to	comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing	costs: \$ Years:
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing	costs: \$ Years: 646
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing	costs: \$ Years:
d. Describe other economic costs that may o	ocur:	-

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

		ts a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. (In	
costs to do programming, record kee	eping, reporting, and other paperw	rork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$	
Will this regulation directly impact ho	ousing costs? Yes	No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit:	and the
number of units:			
Are there comparable Federal regula	tions? Yes No	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence	a of Endoral
7.00			e oi rederai
-			C
Enter any additional costs to busines	sses and/or individuals that may be	e due to State - Federal differences: \$	
ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation	of the dollar value of benefits is n	ot specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)	
Briefly summarize the benefits that n	nay result from this regulation and	who will benefit:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Are the benefits the result of :	specific statutory requirements,	, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory au	thority?
Explain:			
and promit			
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA	from this regulation over its lifetim		penefits is not
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' ecifically required by rulemaking law,	from this regulation over its lifetim  FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)	ne?\$sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of t	•
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' ecifically required by rulemaking law,	from this regulation over its lifetim  FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)	ne?\$	•
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' ecifically required by rulemaking law,	from this regulation over its lifetim  FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)	ne?\$sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of t	
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' ecifically required by rulemaking law,	from this regulation over its lifetim  FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)	ne?\$sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of t	•
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA ecifically required by rulemaking law,  List alternatives considered and des	from this regulation over its lifetim  FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)  cribe them below. If no alternatives	ne?\$sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of because were considered, explain why not:	•
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' ecifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and designate the total statewide costs	from this regulation over its lifetime in the first in th	ssumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of the swere considered, explain why not:	•
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA ecifically required by rulemaking law,  List alternatives considered and des	from this regulation over its lifetim  FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)  cribe them below. If no alternatives	ssumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of the swere considered, explain why not:  and each alternative considered:  Cost: \$	
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' ecifically required by rulemaking law,  List alternatives considered and described and described are statewide costs  Regulation:	from this regulation over its lifetime.  FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)  cribe them below. If no alternatives and benefits from this regulation at Benefit: \$	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of the swere considered, explain why not:  and each alternative considered:  Cost: \$  Cost: \$	•
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' becifically required by rulemaking law,  List alternatives considered and described and described are considered and descri	from this regulation over its lifetime FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)  cribe them below. If no alternatives and benefits from this regulation at Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of the swere considered, explain why not:  and each alternative considered:  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Cost: \$	
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' becifically required by rulemaking law,  List alternatives considered and described and described are considered and descri	from this regulation over its lifetime FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)  cribe them below. If no alternatives and benefits from this regulation at Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$	issumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of the swere considered, explain why not:  and each alternative considered:  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Cost: \$	•
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' ecifically required by rulemaking law,  List alternatives considered and described and described are statewide costs  Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2:	from this regulation over its lifetime FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)  cribe them below. If no alternatives and benefits from this regulation at Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of the swere considered, explain why not:  and each alternative considered:  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Cost: \$	•
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' ecifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and descent and descent according to the statewide costs  Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: Briefly discuss any quantification iss	from this regulation over its lifetime FION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)  cribe them below. If no alternatives and benefits from this regulation at Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$  Benefit: \$  ues that are relevant to a comparison.	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of the swere considered, explain why not:  and each alternative considered:  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Son of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:	
What are the total statewide benefits  ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA: Decifically required by rulemaking law, Use alternatives considered and designate and designate and designate are statewide costs.  Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2:  Briefly discuss any quantification issues.  Rulemaking law requires agencies.	from this regulation over its lifetime over the lifetime of the property of th	issumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of the swere considered, explain why not:  and each alternative considered:  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Son of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:	ologies or
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA Decifically required by rulemaking law, and a law	from this regulation over its lifetime over the lifetime of the property of th	issumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of the swere considered, explain why not:  and each alternative considered:  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Son of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:	

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Will t	he estimated co	ests of this regulation to Califo	ornia business enterprises ex	ceed \$10 million ? Yes	No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)
2. Brief	fly describe eac	h equally as an effective alter	native, or combination of alte	ernatives, for which a cost-effectiver	ness analysis was performed
					and and you had partorning.
				al cost and overall cost-effectivenes	es ratio
	ulation:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
	rnative 1:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alte	rnative 2:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
<del></del>			FISCAL IMPAC	CT STATEMENT	
A. FISC	CAL EFFECT O	N LOCAL GOVERNMENT (I ent Fiscal Years.)	ndicate appropriate boxes1 t	hrough 6 and attach calculations an	d assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1.	Additional expe Section 6 of Art	nditures of approximately \$_cle XIII B of the California Co	in the onstitution and Sections 1750	current State Fiscal Year which are 0 et seq. of the Government Code.	reimbursable by the State pursuant to Funding for this reimbursement:
	a. is pro	vided in	, Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of
	b. will be	e requested in the(F	Gove	ernor's Budget for appropriation in E	Budget Act of
	Section 6 of Art		enstitution and Sections 1750	current State Fiscal Year which are in 0 et seq. of the Government Code I	• 1 36.00
	b. implen	nents the court mandate set f	orth by the		
		t in the case of			
	c. imple		le of this State expressed in	their approval of Proposition No	at the
		ed only in response to a spec	dia wa mu a ka ma ki a		(DATE)
	u. is issu	ed only in response to a spec		, which	is/are the only local entity(s) affected;
	e. will be	e fully financed from the		(FEES, REVENUE, ETC.)	authorized by Section
				e de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la co	
	f. provid			which will, at a minimum, offset any	
	g. creat	es, eliminates, or changes the	e penalty for a new crime or i	nfraction contained in	
3.	Savings of ap	proximately \$	annually.		
$\Box_4$	No additional	costs or savings because this	s regulation makes only tech	nical non-substantive or clarifying o	hanges to current law regulations

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	
6. Other.	
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations any year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	d assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1 . Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anticipated and the current State Fiscal Year.	pated that State agencies will:
a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year.	
2. Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.	
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 4 and impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1 . Additional expenditures of approximately \$ 213,000 in the current State Fiscal Year.	
2. Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.	
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Assumptions and fiscal statement for subsequent fiscal years attached.	
a Randnewis Kly	DATE 2 /8   13
AGENCY SECRETARY APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE	2/2/13
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER  APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE	DATE

- The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.

### **Assumptions:**

- Health Benefit Exchange will receive federal grant funds to support operations through Dec 2014

Starting in Jan 2015, the Exchange will be financially self-sustaining

- State general funds will not be used to support Exchange Activities

### Health Plan Management

Expenditure Category	FY 2012/13	FY 2013/14		Total
Salaries	94,425	65,669	TOWNS TO SERVE	160,094
Benefits	36,826	25,611		62,437
OE&E	16,275	11,200		27,475
Sub-Total	147,526	102,479		250,006
Contractual	65,288	65,287		130,575
Total	\$ 212,814	\$ 167,767	\$	380,581